IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

KENNY DREW SAYRE

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:11-CV-75 (BAILEY)

WEXFORD MEDICAL SERVICES, and WARDEN WILLIAM FOX.

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge David J. Joel. By Local Rule, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Joel for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Joel filed his R&R on July 11, 2012 [Doc. 26]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court grant defendant William Fox's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 12] and dismiss the plaintiff's complaint [Doc. 1] with prejudice [Doc. 26 at 8].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140,

1

150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Joel's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

The docket sheet reflects that service was accepted on July 12, 2012 [Doc. 27]. To date,

no objections have been filed.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court

that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 26] should be, and is,

hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's

report. As such, this Court hereby **GRANTS** Defendant William Fox's Motion to Dismiss

Plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 12] and DENIES with prejudice the plaintiff's complaint [Doc.

1]. Therefore, this matter is hereby **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the active docket of this

Court. The Clerk is directed to enter a separate judgment in favor of the defendants.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se plaintiff.

DATED: August 6, 2012.

CHIÈF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2